

Telehealth: Pros, Cons and Pitfalls

Howard (Jack) West, MD

Howard (Jack) West, MD

Vice President, Network Strategy, AccessHope

Associate Professor, Department of Medical Oncology & Therapeutics Research

City of Hope



I do not have any relevant financial relationships.

This presentation and/or comments will provide a balanced, non-promotional, and evidence-based approach to all diagnostic, therapeutic and/or research related content.

Cultural Linguistic Competency (CLC) & Implicit Bias (IB)

STATE LAW:

The California legislature has passed <u>Assembly Bill (AB) 1195</u>, which states that as of July 1, 2006, all Category 1 CME activities that relate to patient care must include a cultural diversity/linguistics component. It has also passed <u>AB 241</u>, which states that as of January 1, 2022, all continuing education courses for a physician and surgeon **must** contain curriculum that includes specified instruction in the understanding of implicit bias in medical treatment.

The cultural and linguistic competency (CLC) and implicit bias (IB) definitions reiterate how patients' diverse backgrounds may impact their access to care.

EXEMPTION:

Business and Professions Code 2190.1 exempts activities which are dedicated solely to research or other issues that do not contain a direct patient care component.

The following CLC & IB components will be addressed in this presentation:

- Discuss demographic and societal limitations to good access to telemedicine services, particularly those that are video-based.
- Identify communication, education, and technical skill barriers that limit utility of telehealth services in many populations with greatest need for telemedicine support.

Immediate Changes in Care Patterns Catalyzed by COVID-19 Pandemic

- Prior to COVID-19, <1% of oncology visits via telemedicine
- Immediate drop in in-person visits & jump in telemedicine visits
- Later settling with in-person visits picking up, telemedicine decreasing some, total visits still below initial baseline



Quick Transition to Telemedicine for Pandemic: Princess Margaret Cancer Center



- Virtual care launched 12 days after declaration of pandemic
- 22,085 visits conducted (mean 514/day)
 - 68.4% of daily visits
 - 0.8% prior to program
 - Phone >> video
 - Ambulatory visit volumes back
 1 month after deployment
 - No change in quality of care
- Satisfaction:
 - 82% for pts
 - 72% for practitioners

Berlin, JAMA Oncol 2021

In Person vs. Telemedicine Visits, March-June, 2020: Cleveland Clinic



Live vs. virtual visit (up to 90% virtual)

Video- (green) vs. phone-based (blue) virtual visits

In Person vs. Telemedicine Visits, March-June, 2020: Bellin Health



Live vs. virtual visits

Video- (green) vs. phone-based (blue) virtual visits

Sinsky, Mayo Clinic Proc 2021

Anticipated Barriers to Telemedicine (Not Specific to Oncology)



professionals, July-August 2020

Utility of Telemedicine Varies by Specialty

- More cognitive specialties with limited or no interventions or exam (at least serially) are more amenable to telemedicine
- Actual use patterns show remarkable variability across specialties, from 95% in psychiatry to 2% in ophthalmology
- Cancer care is right in middle (50%)
- Actual numbers likely to vary based on patient demographics (here, Stanford)

Telemedicine Use by Specialty, Stanford Health Care, 9/1/20-3/20/21



Telemedicine Felt to Be Best Suited for Less Complicated Clinical Scenarios



Tevaarwerk, JCO Oncology Practice 2021

Transitioning Palliative Care to Telemedicine

- DFCI Palliative Care service moved immediately to very few live visits
- Offered deferred visits, calls, or virtual visits
 - Within 2 weeks, scheduled visits back near baseline total
 - Used support staff to orient patients



Lally, JCO Oncol Practice 2021

Concordance of Telemedicine Diagnosis (Mayo)

Concordance by Field

Concordance by Diagnostic Code

@JackWestMD



Damaerschalk, JAMA Netw Open 2022

Able to Integrate Interdisciplinary Care & Discuss Goals of Care



- Able to bring in an interpreter, integrate social worker, nurse, pharmacist for med reconciliation & counseling
- Better documentation of goals of care ("easier than we anticipated", "often initiated by patients"), with potential threat of COVID-19, lack of ventilators, no family visitors
 - "Pandemic created a sense of urgency to discuss goals of care"
 - Patients seemed relatively comfortable to discuss by phone or over video

Benefits & Challenges of Video-Based Telemedicine

"In transitioning our practice to telehealth, we quickly identified a preference for seeing patients by video."

- Helpful to have body language and visual of emotion
- Slight audio delay problematic when it occurred helpful to introduce intentional pauses
- Difficulty for patients to log in was a real issue; delaying schedules
 - Admin staff reviewed video long on instructions with patients in advance
 - Most could log on when given meeting number for video conference by phone

Remember that These Are Still Telemedicine's Early Days

- User interfaces are variable, some quite difficult
- Patients and physicians often not equipped with optimal
 - hardware
 - bandwidth
 - experience/skill set
- TV once meant watching on 9 inch B&W set w/rabbit ears antenna



Telemedicine Shouldn't Try to Just Replicate the In-Person Clinic Experience



A TELEVISION PRODUCTION OF 1934 AT FARNSWORTH, EMPLOY-ING ONE OF THE FIRST TYPES OF IMAGE-DISSECTOR CAMERAS

- Early TV just put a camera in front on a live performance
- It took years to develop specific features how to best use the medium
 - TV has flourished but still coexists with live entertainment
- We are only beginning to explore how to use telemedicine well
 - Will prove to offer unique benefits and not just a consolation prize

Limitations of (Early) Telemedicine: Clinical Team Often Doesn't Transfer into Virtual Space with Physician



- Most docs work with MA, nurse/APC, scheduling in clinic
- Too often transfer to virtual visits leave
 MD on their own
- Navigators work w/patient to get them into virtual waiting room
- Supporting staff coordinates later care
- Higher quality care, better documentation, better staff & physician satisfaction; marked increases in productivity

Sinsky, Mayo Clinic Proc 2021

Focusing on "Webside Manner"

Patient stories shouldn't be about tech challenges

Bedside manner/live MD/patient interactions have been honed over decades to centuries

Webside manner has only just started

- Lighting, sound, camera should be good
- Setting and background should be appropriate not cluttered, no extra people
- Make eye contact with the camera
- Acknowledge the new/odd nature of the televideo visit



From websidemanner.net

What do PATIENTS Think of Telemedicine?

CARE DELIVERYReCAPJCO OP 2021Medical OncologyPatient Perceptions ofTelehealthVideoVisits

Rachel E. Granberg, BA¹; Arianna Heyer, BA¹; Kristin L. Rising, MD, MSHP^{2,3,4}; Nathan R. Handley, MD, MBA^{3,5}; Alexzandra T. Gentsch, MSW^{2,3}; and Adam F. Binder, MD^{3,5}

- "I just really liked the visit. I mean, the fact that I felt we had—she had my undivided attention, that she—I didn't feel like she was rushed. I thought that she was thorough and paid attention, listened to every word I was saying. And acted upon and responded in that way."
- "I felt like ... there was more time ... because I've been to doctors a lot and I just felt that the time that was spent, that I spent with the doctor was longer than if I had been in the office and she had other patients waiting."

VS.

- "Well, it's a little bit more shorter and brief like just to make sure everything's going okay. When you're in an office visit with the doctor, you're more specific and asking specific questions and you're there a little bit longer, I think, like you get more in detail."
- "I feel like the tele video visits sometimes you feel like you're next person in line, I gotta get out here. Whereas if I'm in the office, it's like okay. You feel more like you're right there, I can ask more questions, and I don't know. It just feels more like it's a little easier there."

Different patients perceive MORE time or LESS time with telemedicine visits

Very individualized perspectives on whether telemedicine is an advance or a poor substitute

Telemedicine is Not Ideal for Everyone

- Patients coming in for infusions
- Unstable patients who need direct eval
- Language barriers
- Patients who don't have access
 - To hardware
 - To bandwidth
 - To tech experience
 - Widening disparity for "haves" and "have-nots"
- Patients/physicians uncomfortable with emotionally charged discussions through a screen



"Telemedicine Unreadiness" Among US Older Adults

- Cross-sectional study of 4525 community-based adults (<u>>65</u>)
- Assessed for problems with hearing, speaking, dementia, vision, lack of internet-enabled hardware, and lack of use of electronic communications in preceding months

	Percentage unready (survey	Adjusted odds ratio
Factor	weighted)	(95% CI)
Age, y		
65-74	25	1 [Reference]
75-84	44	2.3 (1.8-3.0)
≥85	72	7.0 (5.3-9.1)
Sex		
Women	38	1 [Reference]
Men	39	1.7 (1.3-2.1)
Race/ethnicity		
White, non-Hispanic	32	1 [Reference]
Black, non-Hispanic	60	1.8 (1.4-2.3)
Other, non-Hispanic ^a	45	1.0 (0.6-1.5)
Hispanic	71	2.4 (1.6-3.6)
Rurality		
Metropolitan	38	1 [Reference]
Nonmetropolitan	42	1.2 (0.9-1.5)

Marital status		
Married	30	1 [Reference]
Separated or divorced	42	1.5 (1.1-2.0)
Widowed	52	1.7 (1.3-2.2)
Never married	58	2.7 (1.4-5.1)
Educational level		
>High school	24	1 [Reference]
High school	48	2.1 (1.7-2.5)
<high school<="" td=""><td>74</td><td>3.9 (2.9-5.3)</td></high>	74	3.9 (2.9-5.3)
Income quintile ^b		
Highest	17	1 [Reference]
Higher	23	1.2 (0.9-1.7)
Middle	34	1.5 (1.0-2.1)
Lower	43	1.9 (1.3-2.9)
Lowest	67	3.2 (2.2-4.6)
Self-rated health		
Excellent	22	1 [Reference]
Very good	26	1.0 (0.7-1.4)
Good	40	1.4 (1.0-1.9)
Fair	60	2.5 (1.8-3.5)
Poor	77	4.5 (2.7-7.6)

Less feasible in older patients, minorities, unmarried, less educated, lower income, & less healthy patients with fewer advantages least able to avail themselves of potential benefits of telemedicine)

Lam, JAMA Int Med 2020

Disparities in Who is Using Telemedicine for Oncology

- Flatiron Data on 26,788 people treated for cancer between 3/2020 and 11/2021 (f/u through 3/2022)
- Significantly lower rates of telemedicine use
 - Black vs. White pts
 - those without documented insurance
 - those in rural or suburban areas vs. urban ones
 - strong association w/SES



Telemedicine addresses some disparities but introduces others

Guadamuz, ASCO 2022, A#6511

Interstate Medical Licensure Compact



Current Interstate Medical Licensure Compact Member States

- = Compact Legislation Introduced
- = IMLC Member State serving as SPL processing applications and issuing licenses*
- = IMLC Member State non-SPL issuing licenses*
- = IMLC Passed; Implementation In Process or Delayed*

- 35 states (+ DC, Guam) and growing
 5 states currently pending
- Membership process for MDs living and/or working in a member state
 - (though not trivially easy or quick)
- Far easier to obtain other state licenses
 Just pay \$\$, license granted in days

ASCO's Position Statement on Telemedicine in Cancer Care (May, 2021)

EVIDENCE. CARE. IMPACT.	ASCO [®] AMERICAN SOCIETY OF CLINICAL ONCOLOGY		
ASCO Position Statement: Telemedicine Cross-State Licensure Approved by the Board on May 20, 2021			

- Supports continuing CMS provisions for cancer care telemedicine beyond pandemic
- Favors participation of all states in Interstate Medical Licensure Compact (IMLC)
- Recommends doctor-patient relationship be initiated by live visit first
 - This is not meant to restrict telemedicine option for second opinion support
- Medical liability insurance should cover telemedicine interactions
- FTC should monitor telehealth practice patterns to prevent unfair practices/fraud

Telemedicine, Intra-State vs. Inter-State Claims



Campion, Telehealth & Medicine Today 2021

Telemedicine Over Time, by Claims Data



Campion, Telehealth & Medicine Today 2021

Fraction of Interstate Telemedicine Visits Among Medicare Beneficiaries by County January to June, 2021



- Most states (~40) have now let interstate telemedicine licensing freedoms expire
- Though inter-state telemedicine visits comprise <1% on average, it is >10% in 10 states
 - Varies by county, with major use in some counties
 - 64% are with a provider in an adjacent state
 - Most represent ongoing patient/physician relationships

Mehrotra, JAMA Health Forum 2022 Andino, Health Aff 2022

Number of Out of State Telemedicine Visits/Month Among Medicare Beneficiaries Over Time



Mehrotra, JAMA Health Forum 2022

Telemedicine for Cancer Care, More Recent History

City of Hope Network



Telemedicine for Cancer Care, More Recent History, Audio/Video



TeleVideo Completion, Satisfaction Ratings

Scheduled as TeleVideo, Completed as Video vs. Phone



% Provider, Patient Satisfaction



City of Hope Network

Broad, Bipartisan Support for Telemedicine

The New York Times

Is Telemedicine Here to Stay?

The answer largely depends on whether Medicare and private health insurers will adequately cover virtual doctor visits once coronavirus outbreaks subside.

🛱 Give this article 🔗 📕 🖓 51



While David Collins of Houston appreciates the ease of telemedicine, he sometimes prefers an in-person doctor's visit. "If you break your arm, an e-visit isn't going to help you at all," he said. Callaghan O'Hare for The New York Times

By Reed Abelson

Modern Healthcare

DIGITAL HEALTH INSIGHTS DATA/LISTS OPINION EVENTS & AWARDS MULTIMEDIA STRONUCS AT PORCY

July 27, 2022 07:09 PM

House passes bill extending telehealth reimbursement through 2024

Jessica Kim Cohen and Lauren Berryman



 Bill introduced by Liz Cheney (R-WY) & Debbie Dingell (D-MI)

Passed 416-12 !!

Now heading to US Senate

What else has such strong bipartisan support?

The Impact of Consumer Demand





AccessHope Network: Asynchronous Case Reviews for Subspecialist Input

- Dozens of large employers offering expert review as an employee benefit
 - Over 3 million covered lives



- Multiple NCI centers in network
 - City of Hope
 - Northwestern Medicine
 - Dana-Farber Cancer Institute
 - Emory Winship Cancer Institute
 - More to be announced soon

CARE DELIVERY

Novel Program Offering Remote,)rig **Asynchronous Subspecialist Input in** ina **Thoracic Oncology: Early Experience and Insights Gained During the COVID-19 Pandemic** con

Howard (Jack) West, MD, MPhil^{1,2}; Yuan Angela Tan, RN, BSN, MBA²; Afsaneh Barzi, MD, PhD^{1,2}; Debra Wong, MD^{1,2}; Robert Parsley, RN, BSN, MBA²; and Todd Sachs, MD² butions

JCO Oncol Pract 18:e537-e550. © 2021 by American Society of Clinical Oncology

Summary of experience with initial 110 thoracic oncology cases over 19 months

Concordance with Local Recommendations and Cost Savings



Agree

- Agree, with minor recs
- Disagree, with moderate recs
- Disagree, with significant recs
- Cost savings identified in 14 cases for total projected savings of \$2M
- Average of \$19K/patient for entire cohort

A Successful Model of Integrating Subspecialist Input, Delivered Close to Home

Clinical Innovation of the Year





Telemedicine Isn't a Replacement, but an Additional Tool ("both/and")



- Introduction of smart phones didn't replace computers
- Each is very well suited to different tasks
- They coexist side by side

Conclusions: What is the Future of Telemedicine in Cancer Care?

- Feasibility clearly demonstrated
- Ideal for some patients/settings, but not the right tool for every job
- Requires less friction in tech for both docs & pts
 Oncologists need support comparable to live clinic
- Disparities & barriers for pts, often those who need telemedicine the most
 - Haven't yet begun to try to address them
- Take cues from patients on what they want
- But over time, "you get what you incentivize"
 - Future depends on reimbursement, licensing restrictions, liability concerns



you may as well figure out how to saddle it.

What do you think?

