2nd Annual Southern California Genitourinary Cancer Research Forum

### Case-Based Trial Discussion: Localized Renal Cell Carcinoma (RCC), Front-line RCC, Salvage RCC, Non-Clear Cell RCC

Moderator: Sumanta K. Pal, MD

**Speakers:** 

Yu-Wei Chen, MD, MS

Nataliya Mar, MD

Brian Shuch, MD

Hyung Kim, MD

Wesley Yip, MD

### Disclosures

### Sumanta K. Pal, MD

Professor & Vice Chair of Academic Affairs Department of Medical Oncology & Therapeutics Research City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center

 Grant/Research Support from Allogene Therapeutics, CRISPR Therapeutics, Eisai, Genentech, Roche, and Pfizer.

### Yu-Wei Chen, MD, MS

Assistant Clinical Professor Division of Hematology Oncology University of California San Diego

Consultant for Eisai. Grant/Research Support from Gilead Sciences.

### Nataliya Mar, MD

HS Associate Clinical Professor Division of Hematology/Oncology, Department of Medicine School of Medicine Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center UCI Health

• Consultant for AVEO Oncology, EMD Serono, and Pfizer. Grant/Research Support from Gilead Sciences. On the Speakers Bureau for Eisai, and Merck.

This presentation and/or comments will be free of any bias toward or promotion of the above referenced companies or their product(s) and/or other business interests.

*This presentation and/or comments will provide a balanced, non-promotional, and evidence-based approach to all diagnostic, therapeutic and/or research related content.* 

This presentation has been peer-reviewed and no conflicts were noted.

### Disclosures

### Brian Shuch, MD

Brian Shuch, MD Professor of Urology Director, Kidney Cancer Program UCLA Institute of Urologic Oncology

• No relevant financial relationships.

#### Hyung Kim, MD

Hyung Kim, MD Chair, Department of Urology Cedars Sinai Medical Center

• Other Financial Relationship – License through Crown Bioscience.

#### Wesley Yip, MD

Wesley Yip, MD Assistant Professor Division of Urology and Urologic Oncology Department of Surgery City of Hope

• No relevant financial relationships.

This presentation and/or comments will be free of any bias toward or promotion of the above referenced companies or their product(s) and/or other business interests.

*This presentation and/or comments will provide a balanced, non-promotional, and evidence-based approach to all diagnostic, therapeutic and/or research related content.* 

This presentation has been peer-reviewed and no conflicts were noted.

### Cultural Linguistic Competency (CLC) & Implicit Bias (IB)

#### STATE LAW:

The California legislature has passed <u>Assembly Bill (AB) 1195</u>, which states that as of July 1, 2006, all Category 1 CME activities that relate to patient care must include a cultural diversity/linguistics component. It has also passed <u>AB 241</u>, which states that as of January 1, 2022, all continuing education courses for a physician and surgeon **must** contain curriculum that includes specified instruction in the understanding of implicit bias in medical treatment.

The cultural and linguistic competency (CLC) and implicit bias (IB) definitions reiterate how patients' diverse backgrounds may impact their access to care.

#### EXEMPTION:

Business and Professions Code 2190.1 exempts activities which are dedicated solely to research or other issues that do not contain a direct patient care component.

### The following CLC & IB components will be addressed in this presentation:

- Underrepresentation of minority populations in RCC clinical trials limits the generalizability of findings and may perpetuate disparities.
- Implicit biases may lead providers to overlook.
- Diversity in clinical trials.
- Bias in trial enrollment.



- Mr. T.K., a 58-year-old male, presents with gross hematuria and left flank pain for six weeks. A CT scan reveals a 7.2 cm enhancing left renal mass without evidence of metastatic disease on staging scans. He undergoes a left radical nephrectomy. Final pathology was consistent with clear cell RCC, grade 4, negative surgical margins, pT3aN0M0.
- What would you offer as the best next option for this patient?

1) Surveillance

2) Adjuvant pembrolizumab

3) Trial: INTerpath-004



INTerpath-004: A Phase 2, Randomized, Double-blind, Clinical Study of V940 (mRNA-4157) Plus Pembrolizumab (MK-3475) Versus Placebo Plus Pembrolizumab in the Adjuvant Treatment of Participants With Renal Cell Carcinoma

NCT06307431



- Primary endpoint: disease-free survival
- Key secondary endpoints: OS, DMFS, AEs

### Case 2:

- Mr. J.R., a 63-year-old male, presents with right-sided flank pain and gross hematuria for two months. A CT scan shows a 6.8 cm right renal mass, multiple bilateral pulmonary nodules, and enlarged retroperitoneal lymph nodes. A CT-guided biopsy of a lung nodule confirms clear cell renal cell carcinoma.
- What would you offer as the best next option for this patient?
- 1) IO+IO
- 2) IO+TKI
- 3) TKI monotherapy
- 4) Trial: NRG-GU012
- 5) Trial: PROBE
- 6) Trial: ARCHITECT
- 7) Trial: OPTIC

# NRG-GU012: Randomized Phase II Stereotactic Ablative Radiation Therapy (SABR) for Metastatic Unresected Renal Cell Carcinoma Receiving Immunotherapy (SAMURAI)



### NCT05327686

#### Stratification factors

- IMDC intermediate vs poor risk
- IO regimen (IO/IO vs IO/TKI)
- Histology (ccRCC vs nccRCC)

- **Primary endpoint**: nephrectomy or radiographic progression-free survival (nrPFS)
- Key secondary endpoints: ORR by iRECIST and RECIST; rPFS by iRECIST and RECIST; OS; treatment free survival.



NCT04510597

# PROBE Trial: Phase III Trial of Immunotherapy-Based Combination Therapy With or Without Cytoreductive Nephrectomy for Metastatic Renal Cell Carcinoma



- Primary endpoint: OS
- Key secondary endpoints: OS between arms, Complication of surgery

NCT05928806

### ARCHITECT: Advanced Renal Cell Carcinoma Combination Immunotherapy Clinical Trial



> Enhance T cell priming

T Cell

APC/NK

FcyRIIIA

CTLA-4

- Enhance Treg depletion
- > Enhance myeloid activation
- reduce complement mediated toxicity

- **Primary endpoint**: to determine the objective response rate (ORR) per RECIST 1.1
- Key secondary endpoints: duration of response (DOR) per RECIST 1.1; 12- & 24-month landmark progression free survival (PFS); treatment free survival (TFS); safety and tolerability
- Exploratory: to evaluate the relationship between percentage of regulatory T cell (Treg) and efficacy 10 outcomes (ORR, landmark PFS, TFS)

## OPTIC: Genetic Testing to Select Therapy for the Treatment of Advanced or Metastatic Kidney Cancer



NCT05361720

Stratification factors

 Ribonucleic acid sequence (RNAseq)-defines biologic cluster

- Primary endpoint: ORR per RECIST
- Key secondary endpoints: PFS, Depth of response>80% at 6 months



- Mr. D.L., a 65-year-old male, initially presented with right flank pain. Imaging revealed a 9 cm right renal mass with multiple pulmonary nodules and retroperitoneal lymphadenopathy. A lung biopsy confirmed clear cell RCC, grade 3. He was started on first-line nivolumab + cabozantinib but experienced disease progression after 10 months with increasing lung nodules and new liver metastases. He was then switched to second-line lenvatinib + everolimus, achieving partial response for 8 months before progressing again with new bone metastases.
- What would you offer as the best next option for this patient?
- 1) IO rechallenge
- 2) TKI monotherapy
- 3) Trial: AB-2100

An Open-label, Multicenter Phase 1/2 Study to Evaluate the Safety and Efficacy of AB-2100 in Patients with Recurrent Advanced or Metastatic Clear-cell Renal Cell Carcinoma

Key Eligibility Criteria
ECOG PS 0 or 1
Stage IV clear cell RCC
Progression on IO and VEGF-TKI
Measurable disease
Adequate organ function

AB-2100 manufacturing time is +/- 28 days

Retreatment is possible

٠

- Primary endpoint: PFS
- Key secondary endpoints: OS, ORR, Safety



### Tumor stimulates Immune Response Against Neoantigens

### Using patient samples:



- Sequence whole exome from tumor and normal tissue
- Identify patient-specific mutation

- Sequence all mRNA to find transcribed mutations
- HLA type and synthesize peptide



Peptide stimulation



- Mr. R.S., a 61-year-old male, initially underwent a left radical nephrectomy three years ago and diagnosed with papillary RCC, pT1bN0M0. He was under active surveillance until now. Recent imaging reveals multiple bilateral lung nodules. A biopsy of a lung lesion confirms metastatic papillary RCC.
- What would you offer as the best next option for this patient?
- 1) TKI monotherapy
- 2) IO+TKI
- 3) IO+IO
- 4) Trial: PAPMET2



# PAPMET2: A Phase II Randomized Trial of Cabozantinib With or Without Atezolizumab in Patients With Advanced Papillary Renal Cell Carcinoma



### NCT05411081

- **Primary endpoint**: PFS
- Key secondary endpoints: OS, ORR, Safety